

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/711,758	FURUKAWA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	MAHMOUD DAHIMENE	1792	

All Participants: (1) MAHMOUD DAHIMENE. (2) ADREW WRIGHT.

Status of Application: Allowed

(3) _____. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 23 March 2010 **Time:** 10:00 AM

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:
All pending

Prior art documents discussed:
N/A

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
In a number of telephonic discussions dating from 3/16/10 to 3/23/10, the examiner proposed to amend independent claims 1, 8 and 21 to define a structural relationship between the "target shape" and the STI loop. The attorney agreed to amend the claims.

Part III.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/M. D./
 Examiner, Art Unit 1792

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)